

From: [REDACTED]
To: [West Midlands Interchange](#)
Subject: TR050005 Request for Further Information
Date: 07 August 2019 10:27:34

West Midlands Interchange Project – TR050005

reference: 20015813 Ex Question 3.1.1

Dear Sirs,

With regard to the question above, I am writing to further object to planning being given for this project.
Ex Question 3.1.1

- (i) There is no reasonable need for the construction of Warehousing almost 3 times that of Amazon at Rugeley. Had the question of a Rail Interchange not been raised, it is without doubt that planning permission on green belt for warehousing would NOT have been granted by the local authority. If Warehousing alone needed to be provided in this area, there are other brownfield sites that could have been used. In addition, road services to this rural area would be totally compromised if warehousing alone were to be built; we have a network of rural lanes surrounding the proposed site which become “rat-runs” whenever the M6 or M54 in either direction is congested. The A449 is used in both directions as an alternative to the M6, whilst the A5/A449 is used as an alternative to the M54. Options on Satellite Navigation systems compound the problem.
- (ii) There are no reasonable grounds, and thus no certainty.
- (iii) I see no need for a change of timescale.
- (iv) There is no certainty
- (v) The delegation to the LPA of any decision relating to this project would be contrary to natural justice. For many and previously stated reasons this locality does not want the West Midlands Interchange. To make the LPA (and thus local representatives) subsequently responsible for decisions clearly at present beyond their control seems irrational and unreasonable.
- (vi) Concerns would not be allayed.

Yours sincerely

Jan Jeffries

[REDACTED]

Also Parish Councillor Brewood & Coven Parish Council (with Bishops Wood and Coven Heath)